

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT SCREENING

Project Reference	231020
Date & Time	16/10/23
Subject	Proposed Housing Development at Geldof Drive and Benson Court, Co. Sligo
Author(s)	Morgan Valvik, Owen Cahill

The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Directive (Directive 2011/92/EU) as amended by Directive 2014/52/EU, aims to determine the likely significant effects of a project on the environment. EIA screening determines whether an EIA is required for a specified project.

Schedule 5 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended) identifies development for the purposes Part 10 of the Planning and Development Act 2000 i.e. prescribed classes of development requiring ElA where a development meets or exceeds the thresholds set out under Schedule 5 (Part 1 and Part 2) mandatory EIA is required and, as such, there is no screening determination required. Where a development is of a class included for in Schedule 5 but is sub threshold the development shall be subject to a preliminary examination and if required, screening, to determine if it would or would not be likely to have significant effects on the environment. The Guidelines for Planning Authorities and An Bord Pleanála on carrying out Environmental Impact Assessment (August 2018) state:

- Where, based on a **preliminary examination** of the information submitted with the application and any other supplementary information received, the competent authority concludes that, having considered the nature, size and location of the proposed development, there is no real likelihood of significant effects on the environment, this should be recorded with reasons for this conclusion stated, and no EIA required or formal determination made. The recording of the competent authority's view should be brief and concise, but adequate to inform the public. In many cases this considered view will be included in the planner's/inspector's report on the planning application and this may be cross-referenced in the competent authority's decision. Normally, this will be published at the time of the decision of the competent authority.
- Where, based on the information submitted with the application and any other supplementary information received, and having considered the nature, size and location of the proposed development in the context of the criteria set out in Schedule 7 to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended), there is a real likelihood of significant effects on the environment, the competent authority must determine that an EIA is required. The main reasons for this determination should be recorded.
- Where, based on the information submitted with the application and any other supplementary information received, the competent authority, having considered the nature, size and location of the proposed development in the context of the criteria set out in Schedule 7 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended), forms the view that there is significant and realistic doubt in regard to the likelihood of significant effects on the environment, the competent authority must proceed to a further examination to determine whether EIA is



required. This requires the applicant to submit the information specified in **Schedule 7a** to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended) in order to facilitate a formal screening determination,

In the event that a formal screening assessment is required the Lead Section may need to engage the services of an appropriately qualified consultant (MKO) to assist with same. This EIA Screening Report has been prepared to assist the Lead Section in;

O Step 1

Recording whether the project is of a class of development requiring EIA (Pre Screening).

o Step 2

Considering by way of a preliminary examination if there is a likelihood of significant effects on the environment,

Step 3

Where necessary, undertaking a more detailed examination, based on the information specified in Schedule 7a in order to reach a formal screening determination.

Step 1 - Pre-Screening

<u> 300p 1 - 110-30</u>	recining				
Part 8 Ref:					
Site Location	The Proposed Development is located in the Cranmore area of Sligo Town, County Sligo (Grid Reference for the Geldof Drive site is: R 69767 335376 / ITM X 569720 Y 335376); (Grid Reference for the Benson Court site is: R 69885 335167 / ITM X 569838 Y 835182). The Proposed Development is located approximately 2km southeast of the Sligo Town Centre. Benson Court is currently a disused greenfield site, while Geldof Drive currently comprises 10 no. derelict single story dwellings. The sites are directly surrounded by residential housing estates. The sites can be accessed by Cleveragh Road via regional roads R286 and R870.				
Proposed	The Proposed Development consists of four no. apartment blocks spread over two sites, for a				
Development	total of 30 no. housing units.				
	Geldof Drive will include:				
	Block A - 6 no. 2 Bed units,				
	Block B - 8 no. 1 Bed units,				
	Benson Court will include:				
	 Block A - 8 No. 2 Bed units, Block B - 8 No. 1 Bed units, The Proposed Development will consist of works including: 				
	 Demolition of existing derelict Geldof Drive and redevelopment of existing structure. Provision of all associated surface water and foul drainage services and connections with all associated site works and ancillary services. Provision of a new parking arrangement for Geldof Drive. 				
	• • • •				
	Development of a new access road adjacent to Caroll Drive for vehicular access/egress for Benson Court.				
	 Provision of public open space, communal open space, site landscaping, public lighting, and refuse storage. 				
	Does the Development Yes: 🗸				
	istitute a class of				
	elopment requiring EIA ing regard to Schedule 5				
	he Regulations?				
	3				



2. If YES, is the development meeting or exceeding a threshold set out in Part 1 or Part 2, Schedule 5 of the Planning & Development Regulations?				
Tick		Threshold	Comment	Result
No	✓•			No EIA is Required
Yes		Exceeds/	n/a	EIAR Required
		Is Equal to	n/a	
		No Threshold	n/a	EIA Screening- Preliminary Examinations or Screening
		Sub Threshold	✓•	Determination Required
			Conc	lusion
		t within Part 1 or Part EIA/Screening is	n/a	
	an, equ	thin Part 1 or Part 2 and al to, or there is no uired.	ln/a	
	is less than the threshold. Preliminary Examination is required.		The Proposed Development is sub-threshold.	
				and Class 10(b)(iv) in Part 2 of the Schedule 5 of the Development Regulations 2001 (as amended):
			"Construction of more than 500 dwelling units."	
		"Urban development which would involve an area greater than 2 hectares in the case of a business district, 10 hectares in the case of other parts of a built-up area and 20 hectares elsewhere."		
		The Proposed Development comprises of 30 no. housing units and has a total area of 1.3 ha. This housing Development is below the threshold of 500 dwelling units.		
		This total area of the site of the proposed development is 1.3ha which is below the appropriate threshold of 10 hectares for a development in a built-up area.		
				y Examination is being completed under the provisions 3 and 120 of the Planning and Development Regulations ended).
Name:	Morga	n Valvik	Date: 17 th Oc	tober 2023
Position: G	raduate	Environmental Scientis	st, MKO	

If the proposed development is not of a class requiring EIA it is not necessary to proceed to Steps 2 and 3. The pre-screening exercise should be signed, printed and placed on the Part 8 file.

If the development requires a Preliminary Examination to determine if ElA Screening is required then proceed to Step 2.



Step 2 - Preliminary Examination

A preliminary examination should based on professional expertise and experience, and having regard to the 'Source - Pathway - Target' model, where appropriate. The examination should have regard to the criteria set out in Schedule 7 to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended).

criteria sei out in schedule / to tr		nd Development Regulations 2001 (as amended).		
		inary Examination		
The planning authority shall carry out a preliminary examination of, at the least, the nature, size or location of the development.				
development	Yes / No /	Comment		
	Uncertain	Comment		
Size of the development: Is the size	No	The proposal is for 30 no. housing units which is		
of the proposed development	NO	significantly lower than the EIA threshold of 500 units as		
exceptional in the context of the		detailed in Class 10b Schedule 5 Part 2(i).		
existing environment? Are there		detailed in Class 100 Schedule 5 Fart 2(i).		
cumulative considerations having		Class 10 - Infrastructure Projects		
regard to other existing and/or				
permitted projects?		(b) (i) Construction of more than 500 dwelling		
		units.		
		The size and design of the proposed development is in		
		keeping with the urban scale of the surrounding		
		environment. The site is zoned as an existing residential		
		area. Local guidelines (i.e., the Sligo County Development		
		Plan 2017-2023) asserts that the "introduction of		
		compatible/ancillary uses, redevelopment and regeneration		
		may be considered in these areas, as long as the dominant		
		use remains residential." Thus, this is deemed an		
		appropriate development and is in support of local		
		guidelines in Sligo for residential areas.		
		The proposal is for a total area of 1.3 hectares which is		
		significantly lower than the EIA threshold of 10 hectares as		
		set out in class 10b Schedule 5 Part 2 (iv).		
		set out in class 100 seriedate o 1 at 2 (11).		
		The Appropriate Assessment Screening Report (AASR)		
		prepared as part of this application has examined plans and		
		projects that may have the potential to result in cumulative		
		and/or in-combination impacts on European Sites. The		
		AASR provided a list of the projects that are considered		
		cumulatively. The AASR provided a list of the projects		
		such as the provision and modification of residential		
		dwellings, construction of new stables for Sligo Racecourse, modifications to existing private and public buildings, and		
		construction of new dwellings. Relevant projects considered		
		cumulatively include the construction of 64 no.		
		accommodation units for Globe House, located		
		approximately 210m from the project site. Other projects		
		of note include the development of 34 no. residential units		
		approximately 630m from the project site. Additionally,		
		there is an application for the development of a 150m ²		
		single storey prefab building, 2 no. classrooms and ancillary		
		accommodation for Mercy College Sligo located approx.		
		10m from the project site.		
		These projects have been considered cumulatively with the		
		Proposed Development in terms of whether it would be		
		likely to have significant effects on the environment. Due to		
		the relatively small-scale nature of many of the above developments, the separation in distance, the absence of		
		developments, the separation in distance, the absence of		



		racidual impacts as a possit of the Drop and Davidson
		residual impacts as a result of the Proposed Development and the absence of any additional cumulative impact
		pathways identified, the Proposed Development will not
		represent any potential to contribute to any significant
		effects when considered cumulatively with any other
		development.
The Location: Is the proposed	No	An Appropriate Assessment Screening Report (AASR) has
development located on, in,		been prepared. The AASR found that the closest
adjoining or does it have the		European Sites to the Project include Lough Gill SAC
potential to impact on an ecologically		[001976] (located approximately 600m north) and
sensitive site or location? Does the		Cummeen Strand/Drumcliff Bay (Sligo Bay) SAC
proposed development have the		[000627] (located approximately 970m northwest).
potential to affect other significant		
environmental sensitivities in the		The AASR concluded that:
area?		"There are no notable surface water features onsite and no
		direct hydrological pathways to offsite surface water
		bodies." Furthermore, "there were no record of invasive
		species as listed on the Third Schedule of the European
		Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations,
		2011 (S.I. No. 477 of 2011) on site."
		The AASR also concluded that:
		"The Proposed Development, either alone or in
		combination with other plans or projects, is not likely to
		have significant effects on a European site."
		Site-Specific Flood Risk Assessment Reports were
		produced for the Proposed Development and the following
		outcome was concluded:
		"The assessment and analysis undertaken as part of this
		Site-Specific Flood Risk Assessment indicates that the sites
		of the Proposed Development are not susceptible to
		predictive, indicative, or historic fluvial, pluvial or
		groundwater flooding. The likelihood of onsite flooding
		[for both Geldof Drive and Benson Court] from the
		hydrogeological ground conditions are deemed to be
		minor and within acceptable levels."
		Based on archaeological records provided by the
		Department of Government and Heritage from The
		National Inventory of Architectural Heritage and National
		Monuments Service on the Historic View Finder, there are
		no Protected Structures or Recorded Monuments located
		directly on the site. The closest archaeological monument
		was a ringfort [SL014-127] located 166.8m away. The next
		closest site is the Albert House [reg. no.: 32012038] which
		is 188.3m away. Based on the distance from the Proposed
		Development, there will be no predicted direct impact on
NT C.I. I. I.		recorded archaeological monuments.
Nature of the development: Is the	No	Schedule 5 part 2 outlines the criteria under Class 14
nature of the proposed development		provides for EIA for developments under the relevant
exceptional in the context of the existing environment? Will the		threshold, where the works would be likely to have significant effects on the environment. This states the
development result in the production		following: 'Works of demolition carried out in order to
of any significant waste, or result in		facilitate a project listed in Part 1 or Part 2 of this Schedule
significant emissions or pollutants?		where such works would be likely to have significant effects
5.5. Medit chinosions of pondidits.		on the environment, having regard to the criteria set out in
		, , , , ,

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 1}\,https://heritagedata.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=0c9eb9575b544081b0d296436d8f60f8$



		Schedule 7.' This project will involve demolition of an existing derelict site to aid in redevelopment; however, will not facilitate a development listed in this schedule. The proposed works will be required to be undertaken in accordance with detailed method statement. The proposed works will reuse excavated materials in the reinstatement of and landscaping areas where appropriate. Waste where it
		arises, will be source segregated to accommodate re-use and recycling opportunities with provisions implemented on site in waste management areas in accordance with a waste management plan which shall be prepared for the construction phase.
		The Proposed Development would not be considered a recognised emitter of greenhouse gases or a source of pollution. Plant and equipment utilised during construction or preparatory/ enabling works will use fossil fuels, but the
		potential impact associated with this is immaterial due to the short-term scale of the works.
	Co	onclusions
Based on a preliminary evamination		e or location of the development, is there a real likelihood
of significant effects on the environm		e of location of the development, is there a real fixelinood
There is no real likelihood of	EIA not	✓•
significant effects on the	required	Y -
environment		
There is significant and realistic	Screening	n/a
doubt regarding the likelihood of	Determination	
significant effects on the environment	Required	
	Schedule 7A information	n/a
Request the applicant to submit the Information specified in Schedule	required?	
7A for the purposes of a screening	requireds	
determination.		
Name: Morgan Valvik	Date: 10/10/2	023
	: .: .	
Position: Graduate Environmental So	cientist	

The preliminary examination as required by Article 120 of the Planning and Development Regulations 2001 (as amended) has determined that formal EIA Screening is not required therefore it is not necessary to proceed to Step 3.

